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1 INTRODUCTION
From the original Protein Data Bank entry (PDB id 1af8):
Title: Actinorhodin polyketide synthase acyl carrier protein from streptomycetes coelicolor a3(2), nmr, 24 structures
Compound: Mol id: 1; molecule: actinorhodin polyketide synthase acyl carrier protein; chain: a; synonym: act acp, acti orf3; engineered: yes
Organism, scientific name: Streptomyces Coelicolor;
1af8 contains a single unique chain 1af8A (86 residues long). This is an NMR-determined structure – in this report the first model in the file was used.

2 CHAIN 1AF8A
2.1 Q02054 overview
From SwissProt, id Q02054, 100% identical to 1af8A:
Description: Actinorhodin polyketide synthase acyl carrier protein (ACP) (actI ORF3).
Organism, scientific name: Streptomyces coelicolor.
Taxonomy: Bacteria; Actinobacteria; Actinobacteridae; Actinomycetales; Streptomycineae; Streptomycetaceae; Streptomyces.
Function: Acyl carrier protein.
Pathway: Polyketide antibiotic actinorhodin biosynthesis.
Ptmt: 4’-phosphopantetheine is transferred from CoA to a specific serine of the apo-ACP-like protein (Potential).
Similarity: Contains 1 acyl carrier domain.
About: This Swiss-Prot entry is copyright. It is produced through a collaboration between the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics and the EMBL outstation - the European Bioinformatics Institute. There are no restrictions on its use as long as its content is in no way modified and this statement is not removed.

2.2 Multiple sequence alignment for 1af8A
For the chain 1af8A, the alignment 1af8A.msf (attached) with 126 sequences was used. The alignment was downloaded from the HSSP database, and fragments shorter than 75% of the query as well as duplicate sequences were removed. It can be found in the attachment to this report, under the name of 1af8A.msf. Its statistics, from the alistat program are the following:
2.3 Residue ranking in 1af8A

The 1af8A sequence is shown in Fig. 1, with each residue colored according to its estimated importance. The full listing of residues in 1af8A can be found in the file called 1af8A.ranks_sorted in the attachment.

2.4 Top ranking residues in 1af8A and their position on the structure

In the following we consider residues ranking among top 24% of residues in the protein (the closest this analysis allows us to get to 25%).

2.4.1 Clustering of residues at 24% coverage.

Table 1 shows the clusters of residues at 24% coverage. The clusters are composed of the residues listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster color</th>
<th>size</th>
<th>member residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,46,47,48,52,70,71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60,61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.2 Possible novel functional surfaces at 24% coverage.

One group of residues is conserved on the 1af8A surface, away from (or substantially larger than) other functional sites and interfaces recognizable in PDB entry 1af8. It is shown in Fig. 4. The residues belonging to this surface "patch" are listed in Table 2, while Table
Fig. 4. A possible active surface on the chain 1af8A.

3 suggests possible disruptive replacements for these residues (see Section 3.6).

### Table 2. Residues forming surface "patch" in 1af8A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>res</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>substitutions(%)</th>
<th>cvg</th>
<th>antn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S(100)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E(85)Q(6)G(3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T(96)AKNS</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D(14)D(84)N</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G(85)K(2)SE(1)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F(76),(16)DW</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L(84)I(8)M(1)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A(54)CD(23)T(7)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I(53)L(12)I(33)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y(42)F(11)V(3)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T(27)L(45)A(3)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M(41)I(5)M(11)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L(44)R(2)M(13)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Disruptive mutations for the surface patch in 1af8A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>res</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>disruptive mutations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>(KR)(FQWH)(NYELPI)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>(FWH)(Y)(R)(VA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>(R)(K)(FWH)(M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>(R)(FWH)(Y)(VCAG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>(Y)(R)(H)(T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>(R)(FWH)(KY)(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>(K)(E)(Q)(R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>(YR)(T)(H)(SKCAG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(R)(K)(YE)(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>(R)(Y)(H)(K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>(K)(R)(Q)(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>(R)(K)(H)(Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>(Y)(TR)(H)(SCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>(Y)(R)(H)(T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>(R)(Y)(H)(K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 NOTES ON USING TRACE RESULTS

#### 3.1 Coverage

Trace results are commonly expressed in terms of coverage: the residue is important if its “coverage” is small - that is if it belongs to some small top percentage of residues [100% is all of the residues in a chain], according to trace. The ET results are presented in the form of a table, usually limited to top 25% percent of residues (or to some nearby percentage), sorted by the strength of the presumed evolutionary pressure. (I.e., the smaller the coverage, the stronger the pressure on the residue.) Starting from the top of that list, mutating a couple of residues should affect the protein somehow, with the exact effects to be determined experimentally.

#### 3.2 Known substitutions

One of the table columns is “substitutions” - other amino acid types seen at the same position in the alignment. These amino acid types may be interchangeable at that position in the protein, so if one wants to affect the protein by a point mutation, they should be avoided. For example if the substitutions are “RVK” and the original protein has an R at that position, it is advisable to try anything, but RVK. Conversely, when looking for substitutions which will not affect the protein, one may try replacing, R with K, or (perhaps more surprisingly), with V. The percentage of times the substitution appears in the alignment is given in the immediately following bracket. No percentage is given in the cases when it is smaller than 1%. This is meant to be a rough guide - due to rounding errors these percentages often do not add up to 100%.
3.3 Surface

To detect candidates for novel functional interfaces, first we look for residues that are solvent accessible (according to DSSP program) by at least 10 Å², which is roughly the area needed for one water molecule to come in contact with the residue. Furthermore, we require that these residues form a “cluster” of residues which have neighbors within 5 Å from any of their heavy atoms.

Note, however, that, if our picture of protein evolution is correct, the neighboring residues which are not surface accessible might be equally important in maintaining the interaction specificity - they should not be automatically dropped from consideration when choosing the set for mutagenesis. (Especially if they form a cluster with the surface residues.)

3.4 Number of contacts

Another column worth noting is denoted “noc/bb”; it tells the number of contacts heavy atoms of the residue in question make across the interface, as well as how many of them are realized through the backbone atoms (if all or most contacts are through the backbone, mutation presumably won’t have strong impact). Two heavy atoms are considered to be “in contact” if their centers are closer than 5 Å.

3.5 Annotation

If the residue annotation is available (either from the pdb file or from other sources), another column, with the header “annotation” appears. Annotations carried over from PDB are the following: site (indicating existence of related site record in PDB), S-S (disulfide bond forming residue), hb (hydrogen bond forming residue), and sb (salt bridge forming residue).

3.6 Mutation suggestions

Mutation suggestions are completely heuristic and based on complementarity with the substitutions found in the alignment. Note that they are meant to be disruptive to the interaction of the protein with its ligand. The attempt is made to complement the following properties: small [AVGSTC], medium [LPNQDEM1K], large [W FYHR], hydrophobic [LPVAMWF1], polar [CTCY]; positively [KHR], or negatively [DE] charged, aromatic [W FYH], long aliphatic chain [EKQM], OH-group possession [SDETY], and NH2 group possession [QNRK]. The suggestions are listed according to how different they appear to be from the original amino acid, and they are grouped in round brackets if they appear equally disruptive. From left to right, each bracketed group of amino acid types resembles more strongly the original (i.e., is, presumably, less disruptive) These suggestions are tentative - they might prove disruptive to the fold rather than to the interaction. Many researcher will choose, however, the straightforward alanine mutations, especially in the beginning stages of their investigation.

4 APPENDIX

4.1 File formats

Files with extension “ranks_sorted” are the actual trace results. The fields in the table in this file:

- alignment# number of the position in the alignment
- residue# residue number in the PDB file
- type amino acid type
- rank rank of the position according to older version of ET

4.2 Color schemes used

The following color scheme is used in figures with residues colored by cluster size: black is a single-residue cluster; clusters composed of more than one residue colored according to this hierarchy (ordered by descending size): red, blue, yellow, green, purple, azure, turquoise, brown, coral, magenta, LightSalmon, SkyBlue, violet, gold, bisque, Light SlateBlue, orchid, RosyBrown, MediumAquamarine, DarkOliveGreen, CornflowerBlue, grey55, burlywood, LimeGreen, tan, DarkOrange, DeepPink, maroon, BlanchedAlmond.

The colors used to distinguish the residues by the estimated evolutionary pressure they experience can be seen in Fig. 5.

4.3 Credits

4.3.1 Alistat

Alistat is copyrighted

by HHMI/Washington University School of Medicine, 1992-2001, and freely distributed under the GNU General Public License.

4.3.2 CE To map ligand binding sites from different source structures, report_maker uses the CE program: http://cl.sdsc.edu/. Shindyalov IN, Bourne PE (1998) "Protein structure alignment by incremental combinatorial extension (CE) of the optimal path." Protein Engineering 11(9) 739-747.

4.3.3 DSSP In this work a residue is considered solvent accessible if the DSSP program finds it exposed to water by at least 10Å², which is roughly the area needed for one water molecule to come in the contact with the residue. DSSP is copyrighted by W. Kabsch, C. Sander and MPI-MF, 1983, 1985, 1988, 1994 1995, CMBI version by Elmar.Krieger@cmbi.kun.nl November 18,2002, http://www.cmbi.kun.nl/gv/dssp/descrip.html.


4.3.5 LaTeX The text for this report was processed using LATEX; Leslie Lamport, "LaTeX: A Document Preparation System Addison-Wesley," Reading, Mass. (1986).


4.3.7 Pymol The figures in this report were produced using Pymol. The scripts can be found in the attachment. Pymol is an open-source application copyrighted by DeLano Scientific LLC (2005). For more information about Pymol see http://pymol.sourceforge.net/. (Note for Windows users: the attached package needs to be unzipped for Pymol to read the scripts and launch the viewer.)

4.4 Note about ET Viewer
Dan Morgan from the Lichtarge lab has developed a visualization tool specifically for viewing trace results. If you are interested, please visit: http://mammoth.bcm.tmc.edu/traceview/

The viewer is self-unpacking and self-installing. Input files to be used with ETV (extension .etvx) can be found in the attachment to the main report.

4.5 Citing this work

4.6 About report_maker
report_maker was written in 2006 by Ivana Mihalek. The 1D ranking visualization program was written by Ivica Reˇs. report_maker is copyrighted by Lichtarge Lab, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston.

4.7 Attachments
The following files should accompany this report:

- 1af8A.complex.pdb - coordinates of 1af8A with all of its interacting partners
- 1af8A.etvx - ET viewer input file for 1af8A
- 1af8A.cluster_report.summary - Cluster report summary for 1af8A
- 1af8A.ranks - Ranks file in sequence order for 1af8A
- 1af8A.clusters - Cluster descriptions for 1af8A
- 1af8A.msf - the multiple sequence alignment used for the chain 1af8A
- 1af8A.descr - description of sequences used in 1af8A msf
- 1af8A.ranks_sorted - full listing of residues and their ranking for 1af8A